



NORTH FRONT RANGE WATER QUALITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION
257 Johnstown Center Dr.; Unit 206
Johnstown, CO 80534
970-587-8872 – <http://www.nfrwqpa.org>

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AGENDA

January 7, 2021 8:00 AM

Remote Meeting Only

Microsoft Teams meeting

Join on your computer or mobile app

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

Or call in (audio only)

+1 720-739-6745,,838481751# United States, Denver

Phone Conference ID: 838 481 751#

Notice is given to the members of the North Front Range Water Quality Planning Association (NFRWQPA) and the general public the Association will hold its Executive Committee meeting, which is open to the public, at the date posted above at the NFRWQPA office located at 257 Johnstown Center Dr., Unit 207 Johnstown, CO 80534.

1. **CALL MEETING TO ORDER.**

2. **DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM.**

Thomas Acampora -Chair, Jason Graham -Vice Chair, Rob Fleck-Treasure, Jeremy Woolf, Chris Bieker, Brain Zick, & Marco Carani.

3. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA.**

4. **DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.**

5. **PUBLIC COMMENTS.**

6. **APPROVAL OF PAST MINUTES.** - Attachment #1 (pages 4-6).

For review and consideration are the December 10, 2020, Executive Committee meeting minutes.

7. **ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES REVIEW.** - Attachment #2 (pages 7-8).

For review and consideration are the accounts receivables and payables for December 2020.

8. **DISCUSSION ITEM.** Association Dues Future Contribution Levels - Attachment #3 (pages 9-12).

During the December 10, 2020, Executive Committee meeting, the committee discussed the various options for association dues without determining a direction. Mr. Thomas, NFRWQPA Manager, was tasked with exploring association revenue net loss or gain over 2015-2020. Attachment #4 includes the research for determining the Association's net loss or gain from 2015 to 2020 and each member's accrued costs or savings for the same period. Including recommendations for Review Fee policies if adopted.

A. **Manager's Recommendation.**

The manager's recommendation is to use a Tiered Dues Model and assess review fees to *nonmembers* only. Using a Tiered Dues Model, the Association would have a steady revenue stream from dues projected at \$159,375.00. 2015 through 2020, nonmembers would have paid \$41,355.00 at an average of \$8,271.00 per year, bringing the annual average revenue to \$167,646.00 for that period. Per single-family

equivalent (SFE) (sewer customer), this model ranges from \$0.02-0.17 without Fox Acres (with Fox Acres-\$0.02-0.95). The SFE cost could be included within the annual dues letter. A tiered dues model accomplishes the goal to make association dues more equitable for the entire membership while paying for each member's fair share of the regions' watershed assimilative load capacity. Understanding agencies less than one (1) MGD pay less for dues given they are exempt from many of the regulations in which the Association may advocate. A tiered dues model also keeps pace with POTW capacity increases over time.

B. Tiered Dues and Review Fees Hybrid Model:

The research showed that 17 members would save money throughout 2015-2020, and 18 members would have accrued additional costs over the same five year period. Something to consider, as it appears generally smaller utilities end up paying more while larger utilities end up paying less over time. However, this does accomplish the goal of making the dues more equitable since smaller utilities would pay more. In comparison, larger utilities would pay less, unlike the current dues population model, where larger utilities fund most dues revenue. Per SFE, the Tiered, and Review fee hybrid model range from \$0.02-0.80. The current population model ranges from \$0.02-1.16 per SFE. Fox Acres is an outlier with only 88 charged SFEs per month for assessing SFE costs. Without Fox Acres, the SFE range is \$0.02-0.15 for the Tiered and Review fee hybrid model and \$0.02-0.17 for the current population model.

The Tiered Dues Model, Review Fees, and Policies are attached. Possible review fee policies included:

Fees Policies

1. Review fees are non-refundable.
2. Review fees for Association Members are reduced by %50.
3. Once Utility Plan fees are paid, associated, or subsequent Site Application(s) and / or 208 Plan Amendment(s) fees are waived if applied for within one (1) year and documented with the said Utility Plan.
4. Members with representatives that serve on an Association committee for at least five years receive one (1) year of waived fees.
5. Review fees may be reapplied and required for multiple reviews of the same subject or project at the Association's discretion.

C. Tiered Dues model only; charging review fees for nonmembers. – RECOMMENDED.

The same result can be achieved using only a tiered dues model *without* assessing review fees for members. The research showed that 18 members would save money throughout 2015-2020, and 17 members would have accrued additional costs over the same five year period. Again, accomplishing the goal of making association dues more equitable. Per SFE, the Tiered dues model ranges from \$0.02-0.95, and without Fox Acres, \$0.02-0.17.

Tiers of :

\$1,000 for agencies <1 MGD,
\$3,150 for agencies >1MGD & <9.99 MGD, and,
\$14,500 for agencies >10 MGD.

The Tiered Dues Model, Review Fees, and Policies are attached. Possible review fee policies included:

Fees Policies

1. Review fees are non-refundable.
2. Nonmember Review Fees will be assessed for members with less than three (3) years of membership.
3. Once Utility Plan fees are paid, associated, or subsequent Site Application(s) and / or 208 Plan Amendment(s) fees are waived if applied for within one (1) year and documented with the said Utility Plan.
4. Review fees may be reapplied and required for multiple reviews of the same subject or project at the Association's discretion.

D. Flat Rate model.

A flat-rate dues model assessed dues at a rate of \$4,750 showed that 12 members would save money throughout 2015-2020, and 23 members would have accrued additional costs over the same five-year period. Although the most equitable model across the board, flat rate dues would result in more dues increases for membership. Per SFE, the Flat Rate dues model ranges from \$0.01-4.50, and without Fox Acres, \$0.01-0.82, being the most expensive dues option.

E. Assessing a Utility's MGD.

Assessments of a facility's MGD could be current flow, rated capacity, or design capacity. I recommend design capacity. Flow is too variable, and rated capacity may not reflect the facility's current conditions. Design Capacity would be consistent over time, only changing with site application requests to expand or decrease capacity, making it easier to track for the Association.

9. OTHER BUSINESS.

10. ADJOURN.

Attachment #1



NORTH FRONT RANGE WATER QUALITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION
257 Johnstown Center Dr.; Unit 206
Johnstown, CO 80534
970-587-8872 – <http://www.nfrwqpa.org>

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES

December 10, 2020 12:00 PM

Remote Meeting Only

1. **CALL MEETING TO ORDER.**

The meeting was called to order at 12:00 PM by Mr. Thomas.

2. **DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM.**

Thomas Acampora-Chair, Jason Graham-Vice-Chair, Rob Fleck-Treasure, Chris Bieker, Brian Zick, & Jeremy Woolf participated. A quorum was announced.

3. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA.**

Mr. Acampora moved to approve the agenda seconded by Mr. Bieker. – motion carried unanimously.

4. **DISCLOSURE OF POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.**

No conflicts of interest were disclosed during the meeting.

5. **PUBLIC COMMENTS.**

No members of the public were present, and there were no public comments.

6. **APPROVAL OF PAST MINUTES.**

Meeting minutes from September 24, 2020, were presented for review and consideration. Mr. Zick moved to approve the minutes seconded by Mr. Acampora. – motion carried unanimously.

7. **ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES REVIEW.**

The accounts receivables and payables for September, October, and November 2020 were presented and reviewed. Mr. Bieker moved to approve the reviewed accounts receivables and payables for September, October, and November 2020, seconded by Mr. Acampora. – motion carried unanimously.

8. **DISCUSSION ITEM.** Manager's 2020 Performance Evaluation.

Mr. Bieker lead a discussion regarding the NFRWQPA Manager, Mark Thomas, 2020 performance evaluation. The Executive Committee decided to perform a salary survey to determine a reasonable cost of living and/or merit raise for 2021, if applied. The Executive Committee will complete and inform the Association by the end of the fiscal year of its final performance evaluation determination for the manager.

9. **DECISION ITEM.** Approve the 2021 Budget.

The Executive Committee reviewed the 2021 budget approved by the Association in July 2020. The Committee recommended to increased account 6025-Operations Contingency with Board Approval at \$5,000 to \$15,000 for the fiscal year 2021. Mr. Bieker motioned that account 6025-Operations Contingency with Board Approval be increased to \$15,000 approving the 2021 budget seconded by Mr. Woolf. – motion carried unanimously.

10. **DECISION ITEM.** Association Budget and Dues Contribution Levels 2022 and beyond.

During the September 24, 2020, Executive Committee meeting, the Committee discussed various association dues options without determining a direction. The Executive Committee reviewed the association dues options further, including cost per capita and cost per single-family equivalents (SFE) per month. Other considerations are to start providing the opportunity for minor utilities (2,000-50,000gpd) to pay membership dues, either by a minimum rate (TBD) or the current dues model by population. The Executive Committee collectively agreed not to pursue dues for minor utilities (less than 50,000 gpd), stating concerns about the difficulty of receiving dues from minor utilities. The Executive Committee expressed further interest in a hybrid dues model that lowers annual dues but requires and supplements revenue with fees for the following services, Utility Plan, Site Application, and 208 Plan Amendment reviews. The review fee structure would be similar to the state Regulation 22 site application review

fees. The Executive Committee tasked the manager with determining the last five-year of review fees (proposed) to assess the fees' would adequately supplement the lower annual dues contributions. Further discussion included membership access only to the select areas of the association website and CSU eRAMS, and the Association's Top 10 benefits to demonstrate membership value.

11. DISCUSSION ITEM. Executive Committee Meeting Times.

Mr. Thomas, NFRWQPA Manager, expressed the difficulty of now conducting the Executive committee meeting and the monthly Association Meeting remotely on the same day. The Executive Committee stated the same concerns and said they would prefer more and shorter sessions than the current quarterly format. The Executive Committee agreed upon bi-monthly meetings for the ensuing 2021 year, with the option to amend as needed.

12. DISCUSSION ITEM. Remote meetings or remote and in-person meetings?

Mr. Thomas, NFRWQPA Manager, wanted to clarify with the Executive Committee when the Association should return to meetings with an in-person option. The Executive Committee expressed concern with continuing with remote sessions at least through the 2021 first quarter. Mr. Thomas also presented a resolution to the Articles of Association to allow remote meetings and voting for 2021. This resolution to the Articles of Association to allow remote meetings and voting for 2021 will be publicly posted on the Association's website and presented for consideration in the January 28, 2021 association meeting.

13. OTHER BUSINESS.

A. Contribute funds to Metro Wastewater Reclamation District Administration Procedure Act public Comments?
The NFRWQPA signed onto a letter regarding comments of the Division's Administration Procedure Act policy that was funded and supported by the Metro Wastewater Reclamation District. The Executive Committee tasked the NFRWQPA manager, Mark Thomas, to offer the Metro Wastewater Reclamation District to pay a portion of the legal counsel costs of writing and submitting the comments.

B. Contract extension for the CSU eRAMS project.
NFRWQPA manager, Mark Thomas, informed the Executive Committee that the Association would extend the CSU eRAMS contract into 2021 due to delays resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.

14. ADJOURN.

Attachment #2

Attachment #3

Member Name	Current Dues Population Model				Hybrid Dues and Review Fees Model					Tired Model Only				Flat RATE			
	2020 Dues				2015-2020					2015-2020				2015-2020			
	Actual SFEs December 2020	Current Dues	Syr. Current Dues	Actual SFE Cost per mth	Tiered Dues	Syr.-Tiered Dues	Plus + Fees	Actual SFE Cost per mth	S Yr.-Net Difference	Tiered Dues	Syr.-Tiered Dues	Actual SFE Cost per mth	S Yr.-Net Difference	Flat Rate Dues	Syr. FLAT RATE	Actual SFE Cost per mth	S Yr.-Net Difference
1 Adult	993	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.17	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	0	\$ 0.12	\$ 1,875.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.14	\$ 1,125.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.67	\$ 17,625.00
2 Fox Acres	88	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 1.16	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	0	\$ 0.80	\$ 1,875.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.95	\$ 1,125.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 4.50	\$ 17,625.00
3 Milliken	2,963	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ 2,758.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 883.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 1,125.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.13	\$ 17,625.00
4 Platteville	879	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.17	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ 3,461.50	\$ 0.08	\$ 1,586.50	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.09	\$ 1,125.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.45	\$ 17,625.00
5 Severance	2,807	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ 623.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 1,252.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 1,125.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.15	\$ 17,625.00
6 Wellington	4,248	\$ 1,241.00	\$ 6,205.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00		\$ 0.02	\$ 1,955.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 1,205.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.09	\$ 17,545.00
7 Eaton	2,276	\$ 856.00	\$ 4,280.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ -	\$ 0.03	\$ 30.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 1,720.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.17	\$ 19,470.00
8 Hudson	534	\$ 477.00	\$ 2,385.00	\$ 0.07	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00		\$ 0.13	\$ 1,865.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.16	\$ 1,615.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.74	\$ 21,365.00
9 Kelsey	485	\$ 280.00	\$ 1,400.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00		\$ 0.15	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.17	\$ 1,600.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.82	\$ 22,350.00
10 Mead	1,090	\$ 838.00	\$ 4,190.00	\$ 0.06	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00	\$ 703.50	\$ 0.06	\$ 763.50	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	\$ 0.08	\$ 810.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.36	\$ 19,560.00
11 Pierce		\$ 160.00	\$ 800.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00		#DIV/0!	\$ 13,450.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 4,200.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 12,950.00
12 Timnath		\$ 147.00	\$ 735.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 850.00	\$ 4,250.00		#DIV/0!	\$ 13,515.00	\$ 1,000.00	\$ 5,000.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 4,265.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 12,915.00
13 St. Vrain Sanitation District	13,750	\$ 5,441.00	\$ 27,205.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 8,963.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 3,992.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 11,455.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 3,455.00
14 Berthoud	4,966	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 6,583.50	\$ 0.05	\$ 14,708.50	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 9,625.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.08	\$ 17,625.00
15 Johnstown	9,357	\$ 2,229.00	\$ 11,145.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 4,867.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 7,972.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 4,605.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.07	\$ 12,605.00
16 Estes Park S. D.	2,238	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00		\$ 0.11	\$ 8,125.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.12	\$ 9,625.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.18	\$ 17,625.00
17 Erie	10,002	\$ 3,724.00	\$ 18,620.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 11,952.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 7,582.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 2,870.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 15,380.00
18 Upper Thompson S. D.		\$ 2,891.00	\$ 14,455.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 3,293.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 13,088.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 11,295.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 9,295.00
19 Lochbuie	2,694	\$ 1,225.00	\$ 6,125.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00		\$ 0.09	\$ 8,125.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.10	\$ 9,625.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.15	\$ 17,625.00
20 Ft. Lupton	2,584	\$ 1,147.00	\$ 7,085.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 4,984.00	\$ 0.09	\$ 12,140.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.10	\$ 8,665.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.15	\$ 16,665.00
21 Evans	6,263	\$ 3,613.00	\$ 18,065.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 6,620.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 12,805.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 2,315.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.06	\$ 15,685.00
22 Windsor	9,702	\$ 3,965.00	\$ 19,825.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 3,154.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 2,421.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 4,075.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 15,925.00
23 Boxelder S. D.	6,450	\$ 3,176.00	\$ 15,830.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 3,965.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 9,585.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 7,120.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.06	\$ 15,320.00
24 Brighton	11,650	\$ 6,708.00	\$ 33,540.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00		\$ 0.02	\$ 19,290.00	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 17,790.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 9,790.00
25 South Ft. Collins S. D.		\$ 35,000.00	\$ 25,000.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 6,658.50	#DIV/0!	\$ 4,091.50	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 9,250.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 1,250.00
26 Northglenn		\$ 6,921.00	\$ 34,605.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 2,850.00	\$ 14,250.00	\$ 389.50	#DIV/0!	\$ 19,965.50	\$ 3,150.00	\$ 15,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 18,855.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 10,855.00
27 *Larimer County-Unincorporated	24,245	\$ 12,690.00	\$ 63,449.52	\$ 0.04	\$ 7,575.00	\$ 37,875.00	\$ -	\$ 0.03	\$ 25,574.52	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 37,500.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 25,949.52	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 39,699.52
28 *Weld County Unincorporated	18,048	\$ 8,215.00	\$ 41,075.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 7,575.00	\$ 37,875.00	\$ -	\$ 0.03	\$ 3,200.00	\$ 7,500.00	\$ 37,500.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 3,675.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 17,325.00
29 Loveland	35,565	\$ 13,194.00	\$ 65,970.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 41,250.00	\$ 5,374.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 19,346.00	\$ 14,500.00	\$ 72,500.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 6,530.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.01	\$ 42,220.00
30 Broomfield	20,586	\$ 11,010.00	\$ 55,050.00	\$ 0.04	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 41,250.00	\$ -	\$ 0.03	\$ 13,800.00	\$ 14,500.00	\$ 72,500.00	\$ 0.06	\$ 17,450.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.02	\$ 31,300.00
31 Longmont		\$ 136,710.00	\$ 683,950.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 41,250.00	\$ 389.50	#DIV/0!	\$ 43,910.50	\$ 14,500.00	\$ 72,500.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 11,050.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 59,800.00
32 Greeley	26,520	\$ 13,836.00	\$ 69,180.00	\$ 0.06	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 41,250.00	\$ 9,258.00	\$ 0.03	\$ 38,672.00	\$ 14,500.00	\$ 72,500.00	\$ 0.05	\$ 16,680.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ 0.01	\$ 65,430.00
33 Metro WW Rec Dist.		\$ 77,189.00	\$ 35,945.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 41,250.00	\$ 389.50	#DIV/0!	\$ 15,694.50	\$ 14,500.00	\$ 72,500.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 136,555.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 12,195.00
34 Ft. Collins		\$ 28,188.00	\$ 140,940.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 8,250.00	\$ 41,250.00	\$ 389.50	#DIV/0!	\$ 99,300.50	\$ 14,500.00	\$ 72,500.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 68,440.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 117,190.00
35 NCWCD		\$ 11,725.00	\$ 8,625.00	#DIV/0!	\$ 1,725.00	\$ 8,625.00		\$ -	\$ 12,750.00	\$ -	\$ 6,375.00	\$ -	\$ 2,250.00	\$ 4,750.00	\$ 23,750.00	\$ -	\$ 15,125.00
Revenue	0	\$ 174,190.90			\$ 116,475.00		\$ 16,955.20	Fee annual AVG.	\$ -	\$ 159,175.00				\$ 166,250.00			
*SFE=Unincorporated Population/2.8					Hybrid Total	\$ 133,430.20	Hybrid Model, Dues & Fees	Members Save	17	Tiered Dues Model (Only)	Members Save	18	Flat Rate Model	Members Save	12		
							Members Cost	18			Members Cost	17		Members Cost	23		

Possible Fee Schedule for Hybrid Dues Structure

NFRWQPA Hybrid Fee Schedule					
Annual Membership Dues					
Treatment Facility			Collection System only		
Size (mgd)	Dues		Population	Dues	
<1	\$850		0-to-10,000	\$850	
1.0-to-9.99	\$2,850		10,001-to-25,000	\$2,850	
>10.0	\$8,250		>25,000	\$8,250	
Counties			Associates		
\$7,575			\$1,275		
Review Fees*					
Site Applications			Utility Plans		
	New (22.6)	Increasing or Decreasing Capacity (22.7)		New	Update
Wastewater treatment plants, less than 999,999 gallons per day:	\$3,115	\$2,492	Wastewater treatment plants, less than 999,999 gallons per day:	\$3,985	\$3,180
Wastewater treatment plants from 1,000,000 to 9,999,999:	\$6,231	\$4,984	Wastewater treatment plants from 1,000,000 to 9,999,999:	\$5,958	\$4,750
Wastewater treatment plants, 10,000,000 gallons per day or more:	\$12,461	\$9,969	Wastewater treatment plants, 10,000,000 gallons per day or more:	\$7,931	\$6,361
Interceptors (Eligible for Certification) (22.8):	\$779		On-site wastewater treatment systems:	\$1,830	\$1,207
Lift Stations & Interceptors (22.9):	\$1,246		Population & Loading projection updates	\$1,257	
Amendments to Existing Site Applications (22.10):	\$779		Wastewater Utility Service Area (WUSA) updates	\$1,257	
Demonstration Projects (22.11):	\$0				
In-kind Replacement Projects (22.12):	\$0				
Areawide Water Quality Management Plan Amendment					
New Management or Operation Agency:			\$2,992		
New Wastewater Treatment Plant:			\$1,992		
New Lift Station or Interceptor:			\$778		
Increase or Decrease in Treatment Plant Capacity:			\$389		
Wastewater Utility Service Area (WUSA) Amendment:			\$628		
Update Population and Loading Projections:			\$628		
*Fees Reduced 50% for Members					

Fees Policies

1. Review fees are non-refundable.
2. Review fees for Association Members are reduced by %50.
3. Once Utility Plan fees are paid, associated, or subsequent Site Application(s) and/or 208 Plan Amendment(s) fees are waived if applied for within one (1) year and documented with the said Utility Plan.
4. Members with representatives that serve on an Association committee for at least five years receive one (1) year of waived fees.
5. Review fees may be reapplied and required for multiple reviews of the same subject or project at the Association's discretion.

NFRWQPA Fee Schedule					
Annual Membership Dues					
Treatment Facility			Collection System only		
Size (mgd)	Dues		Population	Dues	
<1	\$1,000		0-to-10,000	\$1,000	
1.0-to-9.99	\$3,150		10,001-to-25,000	\$3,150	
>10.0	\$14,500		>25,000	\$14,500	
Counties			Associates		
\$7,500			\$1,275		
Review Fees for Nonmembers					
Site Applications			Utility Plans		
	New (22.6)	Increasing or Decreasing Capacity (22.7)		New	Update
Wastewater treatment plants, less than 999,999 gallons per day:	\$3,115	\$2,492	Wastewater treatment plants, less than 999,999 gallons per day:	\$3,985	\$3,180
Wastewater treatment plants from 1,000,000 to 9,999,999:	\$6,231	\$4,984	Wastewater treatment plants from 1,000,000 to 9,999,999:	\$5,958	\$4,750
Wastewater treatment plants, 10,000,000 gallons per day or more:	\$12,461	\$9,969	Wastewater treatment plants, 10,000,000 gallons per day or more:	\$7,931	\$6,361
Interceptors (Eligible for Certification) (22.8):	\$779		On-site wastewater treatment systems:	\$1,830	\$1,207
Lift Stations & Interceptors (22.9):	\$1,246		Population & Loading projection updates	\$1,257	
Amendments to Existing Site Applications (22.10):	\$779		Wastewater Utility Service Area (WUSA) updates	\$1,257	
Demonstration Projects (22.11):	\$0				
In-kind Replacement Projects (22.12):	\$0				
Areawide Water Quality Management Plan Amendment					
New Management or Operation Agency:			\$2,992		
New Wastewater Treatment Plant:			\$1,992		
New Lift Station or Interceptor:			\$778		
Increase or Decrease in Treatment Plant Capacity:			\$389		
Wastewater Utility Service Area (WUSA) Amendment:			\$628		
Update Population and Loading Projections:			\$628		

Fees Policies

1. Review fees are non-refundable.
2. Nonmember Review Fees will be assessed for members with less than three (3) years of membership.
3. Once Utility Plan fees are paid, associated, or subsequent Site Application(s) and/or 208 Plan Amendment(s) fees are waived if applied for within one (1) year and documented with the said Utility Plan.
4. Review fees may be reapplied and required for multiple reviews of the same subject or project at the Association's discretion.